



Bekkay Harrach: "Security is a Mutual Interest" September 18, 2009

Bekkay Harrach (a.k.a. Abu Talha al-Almani): "Security is a Mutual Interest" Released on: September 18, 2009



[This document is the transcript of a video obtained by NEFA investigators and made available on behalf of the TerrorWatch subscription service. This transcript is provided for educational and informational purposes only. For previous statements from Harrach, see http://www.nefafoundation.org/miscellaneous/FeaturedDocs/nefa_harrach0309.pdf and <http://www.nefafoundation.org/miscellaneous/FeaturedDocs/nefasahabgerman0109.pdf>]

[Verse]

"Thanks due to Allah; glorifier of Islam with His support and degrader of infidels, and calculator of matters and defeater of Kuffar; He who willed with...justice, and prayer and peace upon he who Allah enlightened Islam with his sword."

"I give thanks to Germany for her assistance in removing me from Syria's prison."

"I give thanks to Germany for helping me when I was injured in Hebron in Palestine."

"I give thanks to Germany for not harming my family after my travel to Afghanistan and did not forbid them from travel despite the lengthy surveillance."



Bekkay Harrach

"Generally it is understood that Germany is not stained with blood like the colonialist countries, and also that the majority of the German people want to pull out their occupying soldiers from Afghanistan, and we should not the least forget the German honest refusal to participate in the

war on Iraq. For these reasons, al-Qaeda gives the German people a chance to avoid what is coming to Germany. But al-Qaeda will walk the same diplomatic path, which Stein Mir (Secretary of State) sees necessary to resolve the nuclear clash with Iran peacefully, as the German Secretary of State assured: 'without a military solution we cannot practice diplomacy with the necessary pressure.'

"This type of dialogue is what the Quran teaches us. The Quran tells us that [Allah] commanded Moses to engage in a soft dialogue with Pharaoh because he was a tyrant. The aim of this dialogue was not for the sake of surrender to a powerful enemy, but the aim as Allah tells us: 'so he can remember of fear [Allah]'. Moses, who grew up in the house of Pharaoh, wanted to relieve Pharaoh from an upcoming death but Pharaoh was not considerate. And since flexibility has a limit the dialogue was not fruitful, and Moses threatened Pharaoh." [Verse]

"Before I get into details, allow me to first clarify who ought to be blamed for the German participation in the War on Afghanistan."

"Fall 2001:"

"With the 18th anniversary to the first entrance into the German Parliament, the Green Party thought that by reaching the 'age of puberty' they are adult enough to engage in the biggest German military participation, outside Europe, after World War II. The environmentalists and peace advocates, who reject any war as means to confrontation, were capable of preventing the German participation in war against the Afghani people and, consequently, prevent harm that results from participation away from the German people. But, seemingly, the sweetness of sitting in the winning chairs for the first time in the German Parliament was worth giving up the principles they long glorified, and opened the gates of evilness on Germany. And by his trust in question, Schroeder buried the Green principles and ended the new German period of security that began in 1982."

"Allow me to describe the Red and Green alliance, then known as 'Sunset Alliance.' My opinion regarding this description is that it does not only explain the percentage of Reds and Greens in the alliance, but also it explains the role of the small ally (the Greens) in the government. The Sunset flag is mostly red but the little Green in the middle distinguishes it and adds definition to it."

"The Greens have played a main role with principle political affairs and said to Shreuder: 'we open the road' (according to the German proverb). And also, the following German proverb applies to the Greens: "even the small animals are inherited.' The Greens have made a very big mistake, but who is the main person responsible for the German participation in the war on Afghanistan? If we look to the matter carefully, we should determinedly clarify that there are facts that make another party more responsible, from those:"

"First: In a Democratic system, politicians are only people's representatives."

"Second: In a system where authority is in the hands of the people, the Parliament decides for the people but does not rule them."

"Third: In a democratic system, the source of every authority is people, and also "violence" against the Afghani civilians [authority and violence in German are explained using one (same) terminology]"

"Fourth: in a system where authority is for people, the people—as tax payers—hold the responsibility for crimes of the 'Tornado' jets."

“Fifth: In a democratic system, voting for a party or person as support of his beliefs and opinions and stands. Voting is legitimizing a party or a person is for a limited period to represent any parliamentary institution.”

“Sixth: In the system where power is to the people, to re-elect a government is to disown its aggressive actions. Accordingly, it is needed in the democratic system to punish the governor—the people—for the aggression first. But regarding the minority, the democratic system enforces upon them to accept the legislated laws of the majority, as they [also] must suffer its consequences. Then what do the German people wait for?”

“The answer to that question is connected with the election results as announced in the rescue plan.”

“Thus, if we analyze 60 years of policies for the new republic of Germany, then we can anticipate who'll win the elections. Since Adenauer (the first German Chancellor after WWII), the conservative chancellors were able to govern for eight years. But the Social Democratic chancellors were able to remain in their positions almost for seven years on average. And since Schroeder was able to achieve this average then it is expected that Angela Merkel will achieve the average of a conservative chancellors in governance. This expectation is backed by reality; the Social Democratic party in both cases will hand the authority to the conservatives. After Schmidt (the second Socialist Democratic chancellor) the Social Democratic party was incapable to regain the trust except slowly and with hardships. It seems that according to the European Parliamentary elections and according to opinion polls, history will repeat itself. And if this prediction turns real, bitter times are awaiting the Germans. And I would like to remind the Germans with Merkel's stance towards the war on Iraq. Merkel, as head of the Conservative Party and head of the Conservative Coalition in the Parliament at that time, wanted—out of her determination for war that is publicly not supported—to hold the responsibility of defeat in the elections and to accept another unnecessary threat to the internal security. The majority of the German people refused the war completely. And despite that, Merkel remained loyal to the aggressive policies of the conservatives against Iraq, which is the general feeling in Europe, and explained to the people that democracy isn't but voting for the political program that others put in place. Also, after the start of the war on Iraq, Merkel remained persistent on her stance towards this war that opposes the international laws, and she announced in her first statement after the beginning of the war, her readiness to hold the responsibility.”

“Madam Merkel: What is the logical result the British and Spanish conservatives have gained from supporting the war on Iraq? Why does Germany participate in the war on Afghanistan? The Afghani people did not do anything to the German people. If al-Qaeda wanted to target Germany without reasons, then Muhammad Atta (may Allah have mercy on him) would have saved himself big efforts and carried out his operation in Germany directly.”

“The German government manipulates its people tremendously; it uses excuses that in reality are basically a means to justify its aggression. Is it possible for those who want to defend their security to focus the military operations—that is if they participated—only in northern Afghanistan? Is it possible to those who want to defend their security to intentionally avoid southern Afghanistan?”

“Does the German government inside Afghanistan really defending the security of its citizens? This is simply fabrications Madam Merkel! The German security was not threatened at all before violating the Afghani sovereignty by the German army—which originally is a Defense Army only. Al-Qaeda did not have and doesn't have an interest in entering a conflict with Germany.”

“Additionally, I believe that there are 12 reasons to explain that any German aggression is a very irrational decision. Allow me to mention four:”

“First: Germany's economy and job sector is based on exportation. The loss of reputation for Germans will affect its economy negatively. The result may be progression in the

decrease of economic growth and a huge percentage of unemployment. The accumulated huge German debt is around 1.6 Trillion, and the weakening of income and the increase in expenditure will make the Germans re-look into their foreign policy for their benefit. Also, the companies of the Royal Family—who are the pillar of the German economy—gives a good advice as to take an opposition stance against the aggression of their representatives in Afghanistan. The economic recession that resulted from September 11th has changed the world.”

“Second: The Europeans, unlike the Americans, do not have an Atlantic Ocean that makes the entrance of the mujahideen via land an impossible matter and protects them from having a direct encounter with the mujahideen.”

“Third: Germany has a big security problem. The German security has ignored the Lebanese who wanted to bomb the two trains. And the Sauerland cell wasn't discovered by the German security until after an American warning. The Americans cannot always rescue the Germans. But, the German security has no power to protect the Germans in the first place.”

“Fourth: The German Government does not perceive the al-Qaeda threat seriously and does not listen to what the mujahideen say to avoid an unwanted development. Why I wonder?” [Verse]

“Hasn't the German people's representatives in London or Mumbai become considerate? Have they felt secure from punishment even if punishment doesn't come until after a decade-time? The removal of Sharia from Afghanistan has cost the German people highly: the main principles the people have for long sacrificed for are not existent except in educational books. Do the voters from the public not feel any guilt? The guilt of the representatives of the Germans is higher than the number of hairs of their heads. Is it possible for a country to invade a sovereign state and overthrow its government and intensely seek to remove its constitution—the Holy Quran—and think that its sovereignty and security won't face danger? It is comprehensible for the politicians of a big nation like Germany to enter war with this silly belief? Are serious in that?” [verse]

“Elections [in Germany] on 09/27 are considered more than a choice between a man and a woman. An old wisdom says: 'Security is before anything.'”

“In the Democratic system, only the people can bring back their soldiers to the homeland. But, if the people insist on war, they would have ruled [punishment] against themselves, and above all have clearly clarified for the entire world that civilians in a democratic system are not innocent.”

“The Parliamentary elections are the only chance for the people to draw the policies of the country. In elections, the distinction is done by the people, but after elections Merkel will find no grievance to say for the people for a period of four years except the sayings the German people know very well.”

“Do the German people not want to listen to these memorized statements again?”

“Do the German people want to end fear and suffering?”

“Do the German people want to live in peace?”

“Now, they have a chance!”

“Allah commands us in Quran—al-Anfal Surah, Verse 60—to prepare what is possible of power against our enemy. But, if the enemy is responsive and found a peaceful solution by which to protect human souls, then Allah commands us to be kind and forgiving.” [Verse]

“If the Germans took the side of peace now, the mujahideen will also take peaceful means, and with the withdrawal of the last German soldier from Afghanistan, the mujahid in Germany will be pulled out. This is a promise from al-Qaeda.”

“This is the Islamic reconciliation the West knows and appreciates very well. The German people are able now to benefit from this conciliation and utilize the right time. Al-Qaeda is ready, according to its constitution—the Holy Quran—to not aim at the Germans. The matter is in your hands; only you.”

“What is the opinion of the German people to pull out their soldiers from Afghanistan and by that give a chance for peace and security inside Germany, then to leave the affairs of Afghanistan to the German people? But if the German people do not push the majority of parties to pull out their soldiers from Afghanistan, then the morning of those warned will be bad.”

“But, for the Muslims in Germany I say: Peace be upon you and Allah's mercy and blessings. My beloved brothers in Allah: al-Qaeda asks you to keep away from anything not important during the two weeks that follow the elections, should the German people decide not to pull out their soldiers from Afghanistan. Keep your children near you during this period. I ask Allah to protect you and your children and all children.”

“To the Muslim youth I say: Brothers in Allah! If Jihad begins in Germany, firstly leave al-Qaeda to work. If there was a need to move to the next level, we will inform you with what each one of you should do to participate in Jihad in Germany.”

“Kiel city in Germany, however long the clash remains in Germany, will remain a safe city. This is my promise.”

“Generally, I advise the Germans to the principle of al-Qaeda, that is: security is a mutual interest. If the German representatives are protective of their sovereignty and security and principles, then they must not consider the sovereignty and security and principles of the Afghans a vapor in the air. It is time for Germany to know that Afghanistan is not the seventeenth German province and is not a ‘Beer Tent’ to have in it an all year long ‘October Fest.’”

“Merkel was able to prevent the matters from escalating to what they are now, but she belittled the weight of al-Qaeda.” [Verse]

“To my Amirs; Mullah [Muhammad] Omar Mujahid and Sheikh [Usama] bin Laden and Sheikh [Ayman] al-Zawahiri, I say: I promise you I will be remaining loyal to my oath and will always remain an obedient soldier unconditionally.”

“Mullah Omar Mujahid, Sheikh Bin Laden and Sheikh al-Zawahiri; I love you in Allah.” [Verse]

“Peace be upon those who follow guidance...”

“Merkel made the following constitutional oath when she took the position of Chancellor:”

‘I swear to exhaust my efforts for the comfort of the German people and to grow their benefits, and to keep harm from them, and to protect and defend the constitution and the state laws, and to carry out my duties honestly, and to be just with everyone.’

“The great Allah says:” [30 verses].

“War is declared by one side, but peace won't occur except with both sides together.”

“Al-Qaeda”